# Revisiting climate-change impacts on the Chesapeake Bay

Raymond Najjar The Pennsylvania State University

Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Change 3.0 Workshop May 8, 2024



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecss




Invited feature

Potential climate-change impacts on the Chesapeake Bay


Raymond G. Najjar<sup>a,\*</sup>, Christopher R. Pyke<sup>b</sup>, Mary Beth Adams<sup>c</sup>, Denise Breitburg<sup>d</sup>, Carl Hershner<sup>e</sup>, Michael Kemp<sup>f</sup>, Robert Howarth<sup>g</sup>, Margaret R. Mulholland<sup>h</sup>, Michael Paolisso<sup>i</sup>, David Secor<sup>j</sup>, Kevin Sellner<sup>k</sup>, Denice Wardrop<sup>1</sup>, Robert Wood<sup>m</sup>

- Followed 2008 CBP STAC report
- Still gets cited a fair bit, but a lot of research has been conducted in the past 15 years

#### The key components of an estuary are highly interconnected



Thus, there is value in studying the response of an estuary to climate change at a system-wide level




## Paper outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Atmospheric conditions (R. Najjar, M. Herrmann)
- 3. Sea level (M. Mitchell)
- 4. Fluxes from the watershed (R. Najjar)
- 5. Bay temperature, salinity, and circulation (K. Hinson, M. Friedrichs, R. Najjar)
- 6. Hypoxia (K. Hinson, M. Friedrichs)
- 7. Carbonate system (F. Da)
- 8. Harmful algae and Vibrio (D. Horemans)
- 9. Submersed aquatic vegetation (C. Patrick)
- 10. Tidal marshes (M. Kirwan)
- 11. Fishes (M. Fabrizio, T. Tuckey)
- 12. Shellfish (T. Miller)
- 13. Other biota (R. Woodland)
- 14. Discussion
- 15. Conclusions

Each major section discusses observed trends and future projections


## Atmospheric conditions

## CO<sub>2</sub> scenarios vary widely



Observed and projected temperature and precipitation change averaged over the Chesapeake Bay watershed


- gridMET observations
- MACA downscaling of 20 GCMs
- 10-year running averages



#### Observed air temperature and precipitation trends

- Air temperature and precipitation have increased, in agreement with climate models
- Extreme temperature index trends around the Bay are consistent with warming (St.Laurent et al., 2022)
- Extreme precipitation index trends are equivocal around the Bay, even though large-scale regional trends of the northeast and southeast US are clearly increasing; poor agreement with models (St.Laurent et al., 2022)
- Climate modes (e.g., PDO, ENSO, NAO, and AMO) play an important role in interannual variability (Schulte et al., 2016)


#### Seasonality of temperature and precipitation projections



#### Projections of air temperature and precipitation

- Climate zone of the Chesapeake Bay watershed will shift from *continental—warm summer* to *temperate—hot summer*
- Future warming is sensitive to emissions scenario
- Future precipitation is more uncertain, but multi-model average is consistently increasing; winter and spring are more certain and wetter than summer and fall
- Projections of temperature extreme indices are all consistent with warming (St.Laurent et al., 2022)
- Precipitation is projected to get more extreme (heavier downpours, longer dry spells) (St.Laurent et al., 2022)

The fraction of hurricanes that are major (category 3–5) is increasing in the North Atlantic Ocean




Kossin et al. (2020)

### Observed trends in tropical cyclones (TCs)

- Track through Chesapeake Bay region about annually (Ingram, 2012)
- North Atlantic TCs have changed over the past several decades:
  - More frequent (Kossin et al., 2020)
  - More intense (Kossin et al., 2020)
  - Translating more slowly, increasing precipitation totals (Kossin, 2018)
  - Intensifying faster over the ocean (Garner, 2023)
  - Decaying more slowly over land (Li and Chakraborty, 2020)
  - Landfalling hurricanes shifting towards the US east coast (Li and Chakraborty, 2020)
  - More undergoing extratropical transition (Mokhov et al., 2020)
- Multiple causes of changes: increased greenhouse gases, reduced anthropogenic aerosols, natural variability
- Difficult to characterize centennial trends due to heterogeneous records

Marsooli et al. (2019) project increases in TC frequency and intensity for **Chesapeake Bay** and the Mid-**Atlantic Region** (RCP8.5)




#### Tropical cyclone projections

- For North Atlantic:
  - TCs are expected to become more intense and produce more precipitation
  - Very low consensus on changes in TC frequency and fraction of TCs that are major
- For Chesapeake Bay and Mid-Atlantic region:
  - Statistical-dynamical approaches generally show increasing TC threat
  - TC frequency projections using dynamical approaches show mixed results


## Sea level

## Sea level acceleration is significant



Boon et al. (2018)


#### Sea level rise rates are projected to double by mid-century



Source: Molly Mitchell


## Emissions are important in sea level projections, particularly by late century

Kopp et al. (2014) model accounts for spatial variations due to ice sheets, glaciers, ocean warming, ocean dynamics, land water, and nonclimate (e.g., tectonic effects)



## Tidal range is changing, in part due to sea-level rise


Change in tidal range per change in sea level (cm/m)



Ross et al. (2017)

## Fluxes from the watershed

All water fluxes (precipitation, estimated evapotranspiration, and streamflow) of the Chesapeake Bay watershed are increasing



### Observed trends in fluxes from watershed

- Precipitation, streamflow, and estimated evapotranspiration are increasing
- Streamflow is positively correlated with temperature during colder months and negatively correlated with temperature during warmer months (Schulte et al., 2016)
- Climate already influencing TN load: precipitation increasing TN load with modest offset from warming (Ballard et al., 2019)
- But flow-normalized TN sources (Sabo et al., 2022) and loads (Zhang et al., 2023) are decreasing
- Susquehanna River sediment input to Bay has decreased during low flows due to management and increased during high flows due to dam infilling (Russ and Palinkas, 2020)

10 studies show widely different results for annual flow changes from the watershed

Reference	Domain	Time period	Emissions scenarios	Climate models; down-scaling	Watershed model(s)	Annual streamflow change
Johnson et al. (2015)	Sus	1971– 2070	SRES A2	CMIP3; NARCCAP	SWAT	–10 to 11% Median: 8%
Hawkins (2015)	CBW	1950– 2099	SRES B1, A1b, A2; RCP 2.6, 4.5, 8.5	CMIP3, CMIP5; BCCA, BCSD	Distributed water balance	2020–2039: –1.1 to 3.3% 2050–2059: –6.6 to 4.4% 2080–2099: –13.5 to 3.9%
Seong and Sridhar (2016)	CBW	1950– 2099	RCP 4.5, 8.5	CMIP5; BCCA	CBP Phase 5.3	2070–2099: –21.8 to 6.3%
Ross and Najjar (2019)	Sus	1950– 2099	RCP 8.5	CMIP5	VIC	1 to 49% Median: 14%
Ni et al. (2019)	Sus	1971– 2070	SRES A2	CMIP3; NARCCAP	Internal	Not reported
Modi et al. (2021), Modi (2020)	Sus	1976– 2090	RCP 4.5, 8.5	CMIP5, MACA	Noah-MP	Multi-model averages RCP 4.5 2021–2050: 1.1% RCP 8.5 2021–2050: –0.8% RCP 4.5 2061–2090: 2.2% RCP 8.5 2061–2090: –5.4%
Ator et al. (2022)	CBW	1995– 2025	RCP 4.5	CMIP5 Median	SPARROW	2.4%
Botero-Acosta et al. (2022)	CBW	1970– 2099	RCP 8.5	CMIP5, BCCA	SWAT	Not reported
Hinson et al. (2023)	CBW	1991– 2055	RCP 8.5	CMIP5; BCSD, MACA	CBP Phase 6, DLEM	2046–2055: –12 to 15%
Bhatt et al. (2023)	CBW	1995– 2055	RCP 4.5	CMIP5; BCSD	CBP Phase 6	2025: 2.4% 2055: 6.2%

#### Projections of watershed fluxes


- Annual flow projections highly uncertain
  - Due, in part, to offsetting impacts of precipitation and temperature (Simple model of annual Q vs. P and T applied to RCP4.5 MACA average: –9.3% due to temperature and +8.2 due to precipitation)
  - PET formulations still being worked out (Shenk et al., 2021)
- Winter (Dec–Feb) projections consistent and increasing (8 of 9 of studies)
- % total nitrogen changes = % streamflow changes (Shenk et al., 2021)--but see Sabo and Elmore presentation for more comprehensive view
- Climate should increase TSS loading due to increased precipitation intensity

Bay temperature, salinity, and circulation

## Observed trends in Bay temperature, salinity, and circulation


- Warming and increase in heat waves (Mazzini and Pianca, 2022; Shunk et al., 2024)
- Signal of sea-level rise in salinity (Hilton et al., 2008)
- Stratification mostly unchanged (Testa et al., 2018)

## Bay waters will continue to warm, but future salinity change due to streamflow change is highly uncertain



Muhling et al. (2018)

Sea-level rise increases salinity and stratification (Hilton et al. 2008; Rice et al., 2012; Hong and Shen, 2012) and are larger than streamflow and tidal range impacts in future projections (<u>Ross et al. 2021</u>)



### Projections of temperature, salinity, and circulation

- Warming
- Sea level to increase salinity by 1.2 to 2.5 per m
- Spring salinity should decline due to projected increases in winter streamflow
- Changes in tidal fresh and oligohaline regions highly uncertain, particularly in summer
- Stratification and circulation changes uncertain, though sea-level rise alone likely to increase them

## Hypoxia

#### Hypoxia trends and projections

- Climate has already influenced hypoxia, primarily through warming (Du et al., 2018; Frankel et al., 2022; Ni et al., 2020)
- Warming will be the dominant climate change factor directly affecting future hypoxia (Irby et al., 2018; Lake and Brush, 2015; Ni et al., 2019; Testa et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2022)
- The positive impact of planned nutrient reductions can overwhelm negative impacts of warming on hypoxia (Frankel et al., 2022; Hinson et al., 2023; Irby et al., 2018)

## Carbonate system

## Carbonate system trends

- River and bay alkalinity increasing (Kaushal et al., 2013; Najjar et al., 2020)
- pH increasing in upper Bay, decreasing in lower Bay (Da et al., 2021)
- Carbonate system variables are changing due to changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature, and river carbon, alkalinity, and nutrients (St-Laurent et al. 2020; Da et al., 2021)

#### Projections of the carbonate system

- Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature, along with changes in streamflow and continental shelf conditions, will decrease Chesapeake Bay pH by 0.1 to 0.3 units and nearly double atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Li et al., 2023)
- Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature, and sea level decrease calcite saturation state; oyster tissue weight and shell weight are projected to decrease by 16% and 25%, respectively, over the next 50 years (Czajka, 2024)

## Harmful algae and Vibrio

# Observed trends in harmful algae and Vibrio


- Warming partly explains increase in reported *Vibrio* human infections (Urquhart et al., 2015), and *K. veneficum* and *M. polykrikoides* blooms (Li et al., 2015; Marshall et al., 2009)
- Warming may have contributed to the emergence in the Bay of new cyanobacteria, Lyngbya and associated saxitoxin (MDE, 2004; Wozniak, 2024)

### Projections of harmful algae and Vibrio

- A variety of modeling studies indicate that climate change will result in shifts of harmful algae and Vibrio abundance in time and space, and changes of the community composition (Jacobs et al., 2015; Muhling et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020, 2022; Hofmann et al., 2021)
- Warming and eutrophication tend to increase HABs


# Submersed vegetation

### SAV area by community has varied a lot over the past several decades




SAV area responds to environmental variables

Here, eelgrass declines over the past several decades due to clarity decrease and temperature increase




Lefcheck et al. (2017)




Patrick et al. (2023) Scope 6 Final Report: Modeling Climate Impacts on SAV in the Chesapeake Bay

### But results are community specific



# Tidal marshes

- Tidal wetlands not keeping up with sea-level rise (Beckett et al. 2016)
- But migration compensates for loss (Schieder et al. 2018) -
- Migration constrained by topography (Molino et al. 2022)



### Tidal marsh projections

- 60% of marsh units in the Chesapeake Bay region have unvegetatedvegetated ratios of less than 0.15, suggesting widespread degradation of existing marshes under faster sea level rise rates (Ganju et al., 2024)
- Nevertheless, there is potentially 1050–3748 km<sup>2</sup> of land available for marsh migration across the Chesapeake Bay, similar to the total area of tidal marsh in the Bay
- Warnell et al. (2022) project 12% loss for 0.6 m of SLR and 69% loss for 1.2 m of SLR of MD and VA marshes by end of century
- While CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature are important drivers of marsh processes, there are numerous compensating effects, leaving sea level as the dominant driver

# Fishes

#### Key message

Climate-related increases in water temperature (high confidence) and salinity (low confidence), and decreases in DO (without TMDL) forecasted for mid-century and end of century for Chesapeake Bay will affect fish through a variety of mechanisms that are species and life-stage (egg, larva, juvenile, and adult) dependent


# Examples of climate-related responses noted from the Chesapeake Bay in recent years:

- Alterations in the spatial distribution of individuals (Crear et al., 2020a and b),
- Fluctuations in abundance that mirror the availability of suitable habitats (Fabrizio et al. 2021)
- Changes in the phenology of key life-history processes such as spawning migrations (Peer and Miller, 2014)
- Facilitation of the spread of invasive species (Nepal and Fabrizio, 2019, 2021)


Simulated Cobia suitable habitat (May 15 – Sep 30) response to climate is nonlinear




#### Contemporary



#### Mid-century



#### **End-of-century**



(Crear et al. 2020)


# Shellfish

## Climate change and shellfish

- Principal drivers
  - Changes in thermal regime alter phenology of key life history events
  - Changes in acidity and saturation state alter the carbonate system
- Interactive effects
  - Changes in thermal regime alter ecological roles—e.g., extension of growing seasons increase trophic demand by consumers
  - Reductions in saturation states lead to corrosion of shells which diverts energy to shell replacement from other ecological processes.
- 2 examples


# Blue crab

- **Direct drivers**: Glandon et al. (2019) extended experimental results to show that increased winter temperature will greatly reduce (eliminate) overwintering in blue crab
- Indirect effects: Shortened overwinter will extend periods of crab predation in the benthos, potentially shifting community composition and productivity



### Eastern oyster

- **Direct driver**: Waldbusser et al. (2010) showed that increased acidity and temperature interact to reduce calcification rates of Eastern Oyster, leading to thinning shells
- Indirect effects: Oyster with thinner shells experience higher rates of mortality, and have to divert energy from growth to shell maintenance



# Other biota

# Observed trends in other biota

- A diverse community of birds, mammals, reptiles, insects, and other invertebrates inhabit or use Chesapeake Bay habitats
- Evolving land use, water quality, harvest pressure, and climate over the past decades have all led to changes in the populations of many of these species
- Erosion and armoring of fringing marsh and low beach nesting habitats have led to declines or extirpation of some birds
- 14 species of seabird now arrive earlier during their northward migration, arriving later during their southward migration, or both, relative to the middle of the 20th century (Reese and Weterings, 2018)
- Benthic biodiversity related to temperature, streamflow, DO, and phytoplankton (Woodland and Testa, 2020)

### Some overall findings thus far

- Climate impacts no longer potential—climate is already expressing itself in nearly every aspect of the Bay
- Climate is likely to emerge as a first-order driver of Bay health
- We have agency locally to mitigate the negative impacts of climate change (examples from hypoxia, SAV, maybe HABs, ...)
- Emissions really matter for impacts beyond mid-century and we need to keep communicating that to the public (tidal marsh example)

### Some areas that really need work

- Reducing uncertainty in streamflow projections—salinity and nutrient loading are are strongly influenced by streamflow
- Development and application of a hierarchy of models of living resources (tidal wetlands, SAV, fish, shellfish, etc.)

#### References

Ator, S., Schwarz, G.E., Sekellick, A.J. and Bhatt, G., 2022. Predicting near-term effects of climate change on nitrogen transport to Chesapeake Bay. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 58(4): 578-596.

Ballard, T.C., Sinha, E. and Michalak, A.M., 2019. Long-term changes in precipitation and temperature have already impacted nitrogen loading. Environmental Science & Technology, 53(9): 5080–5090. Beckett, L.H., Baldwin, A.H. and Kearney, M.S., 2016. Tidal marshes across a Chesapeake Bay subestuary are not keeping up with sea-level rise. PLOS ONE, 11(7): e0159753.

- Bhatt, G., Linker, L., Shenk, G., Bertani, I., Tian, R., Rigelman, J., Hinson, K. and Claggett, P., 2023. Water quality impacts of climate change, land use, and population growth in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 59: 1313–1341.
- Boesch, D.F., Boicourt, W.C., Cullather, R.I., Ezer, T., Galloway Jr., G.E., Johnson, Z.P., Kilbourne, K.H., Kirwan, M.L., Kopp, R.E., Land, S., Li, M., Nardin, W., Sommerfield, C.K., Sweet, W.V., 2018. Sea-level Rise: Projections for Maryland 2018. University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Cambridge, MD, 27 pp.
- Boon, J.D., Mitchell, M., Loftis, J.D., Malmquist, D.M., 2018. Anthropocene Sea Level Change: A History of Recent Trends Observed in the US East, Gulf, and West Coast Regions, Special Report in Applied Marine Science and Ocean Engineering (SRAMSOE) No. 467. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester, VA.
- Botero-Acosta, A., Ficklin, D.L., Ehsani, N. and Knouft, J.H., 2022. Climate induced changes in streamflow and water temperature in basins across the Atlantic Coast of the United States: An opportunity for nature-based regional management. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 44: 101202.
- Crear, D.P., Watkins, B.E., Friedrichs, M.A.M., St-Laurent, P. and Weng, K.C., 2020. Estimating shifts in phenology and habitat use of Cobia in Chesapeake Bay under climate change. Frontiers in Marine Science, 7.

Crear, D.P., Latour, R.J., Friedrichs, M.A., St-Laurent, P. and Weng, K.C., 2020. Sensitivity of a shark nursery habitat to a changing climate. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 652: 123–136.

- Crear, D.P., Watkins, B.E., Friedrichs, M.A.M., St-Laurent, P. and Weng, K.C., 2020. Estimating shifts in phenology and habitat use of Cobia in Chesapeake Bay under climate change. Frontiers in Marine Science, 7.
- Czajka, C., 2024. Projected impacts of climate change and watershed management on carbonate chemistry and oyster growth in a coastal plain estuary, Masters Thesis. The College of William and Mary in Virginia, 71 pp.
- Da, F., Friedrichs, M.A.M., St-Laurent, P., Shadwick, E.H., Najjar, R.G. and Hinson, K., 2021. Mechanisms driving decadal changes in the carbonate system of a coastal plain estuary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126, e2021JC017239.
- Du, J., Shen, J., Park, K., Wang, Y.P. and Yu, X., 2018. Worsened physical condition due to climate change contributes to the increasing hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay. Science of the Total Environment, 630: 707-717.
- Frankel, L.T., Friedrichs, M.A., St-Laurent, P., Bever, A.J., Lipcius, R.N., Bhatt, G. and Shenk, G.W., 2022. Nitrogen reductions have decreased hypoxia in the Chesapeake Bay: Evidence from empirical and numerical modeling. Science of the Total Environment, 814: 152722.
- Ganju, N.K., Ackerman, K.V. and Defne, Z., 2024. Using geospatial analysis to guide marsh restoration in Chesapeake Bay and beyond. Estuaries and Coasts, 47(1): 1–17.
- Garner, A.J., 2023. Observed increases in North Atlantic tropical cyclone peak intensification rates. Scientific Reports, 13(1): 16299.

Glandon, H.L., Kilbourne, K.H. and Miller, T.J., 2019. Winter is (not) coming: Warming temperatures will affect the overwinter behavior and survival of blue crab. PLOS ONE, 14(7): e0219555.

Hawkins, T.W., 2015. Simulating the impacts of projected climate change on streamflow hydrology for the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 105(4): 627–648. Hilton, T.W., Najjar, R.G., Zhong, L. and Li, M., 2008. Is there a signal of sea-level rise in Chesapeake Bay salinity? Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, C09002, doi:10.1029/2007JC004247.

- Hinson, K.E., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Najjar, R.G., Herrmann, M., Bian, Z., Bhatt, G., St-Laurent, P., Tian, H. and Shenk, G., 2023. Impacts and uncertainties of climate-induced changes in watershed inputs on estuarine hypoxia. Biogeosciences, 20(10): 1937-1961.
- Hofmann, E.E., Klinck, J.M., Filippino, K.C., Egerton, T., Davis, L.B., Echevarría, M., Pérez-Vega, E. and Mulholland, M.R., 2021. Understanding controls on Margalefidinium polykrikoides blooms in the lower Chesapeake Bay. Harmful Algae, 107: 102064.
- Hong, B. and Shen, J., 2012. Responses of estuarine salinity and transport processes to potential future sea-level rise in the Chesapeake Bay. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 104-105: 33-45. Ingram, A., 2012. The impact of tropical circulation systems on the Chesapeake Bay region: A climatology and damage assessment, Masters Thesis. University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, 72 pp.

Irby, I.D., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Da, F. and Hinson, K.E., 2018. The competing impacts of climate change and nutrient reductions on dissolved oxygen in Chesapeake Bay. Biogeosciences, 15(9): 2649–2668. Jacobs, J., Moore, S.K., Kunkel, K.E. and Sun, L., 2015. A framework for examining climate-driven changes to the seasonality and geographical range of coastal pathogens and harmful algae. Climate Risk

Jacobs, J., More, S.K., Nurker, N.E. and Sun, L., 2015. A tramework for examining climate-driven changes to the seasonality and geographical range of coastal pathogens and harmful algae. Climate Risk Management, 8: 16-27.

Johnson, T., Butcher, J., Deb, D., Faizullabhoy, M., Hummel, P., Kittle, J., McGinnis, S., Mearns, L.O., Nover, D., Parker, A., Sarkar, S., Srinivasan, R., Tuppad, P., Warren, M., Weaver, C. and Witt, J., 2015. Modeling streamflow and water quality sensitivity to climate change and urban development in 20 U.S. watersheds. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 51(5): 1321–1341.

#### References (continued)

Kaushal, S.S., Likens, G.E., Utz, R.M., Pace, M.L., Grese, M. and Yepsen, M., 2013. Increased river alkalinization in the Eastern U.S. Environmental Science & Technology, 47(18): 10302–10311.

Kossin, J.P., Knapp, K.R., Olander, T.L., Velden, C.S., 2020. Global increase in major tropical cyclone exceedance probability over the past four decades. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 11975-11980.

Kossin, J.P., 2018. A global slowdown of tropical-cyclone translation speed. Nature, 558(7708): 104-107.

- Kossin, J.P., Knapp, K.R., Olander, T.L., Velden, C.S., 2020. Global increase in major tropical cyclone exceedance probability over the past four decades. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 11975-11980.
- Lefcheck, J.S., Wilcox, D.J., Murphy, R.R., Marion, S.R. and Orth, R.J., 2017. Multiple stressors threaten the imperiled coastal foundation species eelgrass (Zostera marina) in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Global Change Biology, 23: 3474–3483.
- Lake, S.J. and Brush, M.J., 2015. Contribution of nutrient and organic matter sources to the development of periodic hypoxia in a tributary estuary. Estuaries and Coasts, 38(6): 2149–2171.
- Li, J., Glibert, P.M. and Gao, Y., 2015. Temporal and spatial changes in Chesapeake Bay water quality and relationships to Prorocentrum minimum, Karlodinium veneficum, and CyanoHAB events, 1991–2008. Harmful Algae, 42: 1–14.
- Li, L. and Chakraborty, P., 2020. Slower decay of landfalling hurricanes in a warming world. Nature, 587(7833): 230-234.
- Li, M., Ni, W., Zhang, F., Glibert, P.M. and Lin, C.-H., 2020. Climate-induced interannual variability and projected change of two harmful algal bloom taxa in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Science of The Total Environment, 744: 140947.
- Li, M., Chen, Y., Zhang, F., Song, Y., Glibert, P.M. and Stoecker, D.K., 2022. A three-dimensional mixotrophic model of Karlodinium veneficum blooms for a eutrophic estuary. Harmful Algae, 113: 102203.
- Li, M., Guo, Y., Cai, W.-J., Testa, J.M., Shen, C., Li, R. and Su, J., 2023. Projected increase in carbon dioxide drawdown and acidification in large estuaries under climate change. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1): 68.
- Marshall, H.G., Lane, M.F., Nesius, K.K. and Burchardt, L., 2009. Assessment and significance of phytoplankton species composition within Chesapeake Bay and Virginia tributaries through a long-term monitoring program. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 150(1): 143–155.
- Marsooli, R., Lin, N., Emanuel, K. and Feng, K., 2019. Climate change exacerbates hurricane flood hazards along US Atlantic and Gulf Coasts in spatially varying patterns. Nature Communications, 10(1): 3785.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 2004. Macroalgae common to Upper Western Shore tributaries and upper Chesapeake Bay this year.

https://eyesonthebay.dnr.maryland.gov/hab/news\_080904.cfm. Accessed: 2024-02-15.

- Mazzini, P.L.F. and Pianca, C., 2022. Marine heatwaves in the Chesapeake Bay. Frontiers in Marine Science, 8: 750265.
- Modi, P.A., Fuka, D.R. and Easton, Z.M., 2021. Impacts of climate change on terrestrial hydrological components and crop water use in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 35: 100830.
- Modi, P.A., 2020. Evaluating Changes in Terrestrial Hydrological Components Due to Climate Change in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed, Masters Thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Mokhov, I.I., Makarova, M.E. and Poroshenko, A.G., 2020. Tropical cyclones and their transformation into extratropical: Estimates of the half-century trends. Doklady Earth Sciences, 493(1): 552-557.
- Molino, G.D., Carr, J.A., Ganju, N.K. and Kirwan, M.L., 2022. Variability in marsh migration potential determined by topographic rather than anthropogenic constraints in the Chesapeake Bay region. Limnology and Oceanography Letters, 7(4): 321–331.
- Muhling, B.A., Jacobs, J., Stock, C.A., Gaitan, C.F. and Saba, V.S., 2017. Projections of the future occurrence, distribution, and seasonality of three Vibrio species in the Chesapeake Bay under a highemission climate change scenario. GeoHealth, 1: 278–296.
- Muhling, B.A., Gaitán, C.F., Stock, C.A., Saba, V.S., Tommasi, D. and Dixon, K.W., 2018. Potential salinity and temperature futures for the Chesapeake Bay using a statistical downscaling spatial disaggregation framework. Estuaries and Coasts, 41: 349–372.
- Najjar, R.G., Herrmann, M., Cintrón Del Valle, S.M., Friedman, J.R., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Harris, L.A., Shadwick, E.H., Stets, E.G. and Woodland, R.J., 2020. Alkalinity in tidal tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, doi:10.1029/2019JC015597.
- Nepal, V. and Fabrizio, M.C., 2019. High salinity tolerance of invasive blue catfish suggests potential for further range expansion in the Chesapeake Bay region. PLOS ONE, 14(11): e0224770.
- Ni, W., Li, M., Ross, A.C. and Najjar, R.G., 2019. Large projected decline in dissolved oxygen in a eutrophic estuary due to climate change. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124: 8271–8289.
- Ni, W., Li, M. and Testa, J.M., 2020. Discerning effects of warming, sea level rise and nutrient management on long-term hypoxia trends in Chesapeake Bay. Science of The Total Environment, 737: 139717.

#### References (continued)

- Peer, A.C. and Miller, T.J., 2014. Climate change, migration phenology, and fisheries management interact with unanticipated consequences. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34(1): 94-110.
- Rice, K.C., Hong, B. and Shen, J., 2012. Assessment of salinity intrusion in the James and Chickahominy Rivers as a result of simulated sea-level rise in Chesapeake Bay, East Coast, USA. Journal of Environmental Management, 111: 61–69.
- Ross, A.C., Najjar, R.G. and Li, M., 2021. A metamodel-based analysis of the sensitivity and uncertainty of the response of Chesapeake Bay salinity and circulation to projected climate change. Estuaries and Coasts, 44: 70–87.
- Ross, A.C., Najjar, R.G., Li, M., Lee, S.B., Zhang, F., Liu, W., 2017. Fingerprints of sea-level rise on changing tides in the Chesapeake and Delaware Bays. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122, 8102–8125.
- Ross, A.C. and Najjar, R.G., 2019. Evaluation of methods for selecting climate models to simulate future hydrological change. Climatic Change, 157: 407–428.
- Russ, E. and Palinkas, C., 2020. Evolving sediment dynamics due to anthropogenic processes in upper Chesapeake Bay. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 235: 106596.
- Sabo, R.D., Sullivan, B., Wu, C., Trentacoste, E., Zhang, Q., Shenk, G.W., Bhatt, G. and Linker, L.C., 2022. Major point and nonpoint sources of nutrient pollution to surface water have declined throughout the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Environmental Research Communications, 4(4): 045012.
- Schieder, N.W., Walters, D.C. and Kirwan, M.L., 2018. Massive upland to wetland conversion compensated for historical marsh loss in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Estuaries and Coasts, 41(4): 940–951.
- Schulte, J., Najjar, R.G. and Li, M., 2016. The influence of climate modes on streamflow in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 5: 80-99.

Seong, C. and Sridhar, V., 2016. Hydroclimatic variability and change in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. Journal of Water and Climate Change, 8(2): 254–273.

- Shenk, G.W., Bhatt, G., Tian, R., Cerco, C.F., Bertani, I. and Linker, L.C., 2021. Modeling Climate Change Effects on Chesapeake Water Quality Standards and Development of 2025 Planning Targets to Address Climate Change, CBPO Publication Number 328-21. Chesapeake Bay Program, Annapolis, MD, 145 pp.
- Shunk, N.P., Mazzini, P.L.F. and Walter, R.K., 2024. Impacts of marine heatwaves on subsurface temperatures and dissolved oxygen in the Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 129: e2023JC020338.
- St-Laurent, P., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Najjar, R.G., Shadwick, E.H., Tian, H. and Yao, Y., 2020. Relative impacts of global changes and regional watershed changes on the inorganic carbon balance of the Chesapeake Bay. Biogeosciences, 17: 3779-3796.
- St.Laurent, K.A., Coles, V.J. and Hood, R.R., 2022. Climate extremes and variability surrounding Chesapeake Bay: Past, present, and future. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 58(6): 826–854.
- Testa, J.M., Murphy, R.R., Brady, D.C. and Kemp, W.M., 2018. Nutrient- and climate-induced shifts in the phenology of linked biogeochemical cycles in a temperate estuary. Frontiers in Marine Science, 5(114).
- Testa, J.M., Basenback, N., Shen, C., Cole, K., Moore, A., Hodgkins, C. and Brady, D.C., 2022. Modeling impacts of nutrient loading, warming, and boundary exchanges on hypoxia and metabolism in a shallow estuarine ecosystem. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 58(6): 876–897.
- Tian, R., Cerco, C.F., Bhatt, G., Linker, L.C. and Shenk, G.W., 2022. Mechanisms controlling climate warming impact on the occurrence of hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 58(6): 855–875.
- Urquhart, E.A., Zaitchik, B.F., Guikema, S.D., Haley, B.J., Taviani, E., Chen, A., Brown, M.E., Huq, A. and Colwell, R.R., 2015. Use of environmental parameters to model pathogenic Vibrios in Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Environmental Informatics, 26(1): 1–13.
- Warnell, K., Olander, L. and Currin, C., 2022. Sea level rise drives carbon and habitat loss in the U.S. mid-Atlantic coastal zone. PLOS Climate, 1(6): e0000044.
- Zhang, Q., Blomquist, J.D., Fanelli, R.M., Keisman, J.L.D., Moyer, D.L. and Langland, M.J., 2023. Progress in reducing nutrient and sediment loads to Chesapeake Bay: Three decades of monitoring data and implications for restoring complex ecosystems. WIREs Water, 10: e1671.