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1982

- Population in the watershed: 12.7 million
- Number of chickens: 160,763,080
- Between 1990 and 2007, impervious surfaces associated with growth in single-family homes are estimated to have increased about 34 percent, while the region’s population increased by 18 percent.

2017

- Population in the watershed: 18.2 million
- Number of chickens: 1,141,466,636
- Since 2007, Pennsylvania, Maryland, and Virginia have been losing about 28,000 acres of farmland annually, much of it to development
- Since the mid-1980s, the bay has warmed by about 2 degrees Fahrenheit or about .07 degrees each year
Section 6 Tentative Findings and Implications
Two Premises

• The Chesapeake Bay system observed in the past will not be the same system we will have in the future.
• What defines success and the programs to achieve success will require reexamination and change
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

• Overall, the rate of progress in ambient water quality outcomes suggests that achievement of existing water quality criteria is uncertain and remains in the future.

• Existing water quality planning and programs are likely to be insufficient to achieve the nonpoint source reductions called for under the TMDL.

• Improving water quality alone, as measured by existing Bay water quality criteria, may be The current CBP adaptive insufficient to generate desired changes in the composition and abundance of Bay living resources.

• The existing adaptive management process has limited capacity to effectively address the uncertainties and response gaps described in this report.
Improving effectiveness of nonpoint source management programs

Improving living resource response to water quality management efforts

Expand adaptive management
Improving effectiveness of nonpoint source management programs

- Spatial targeting
- Outcomes-based Incentive Programs
- Targeted Requirements
- Facilitating policy innovation through “sandboxing”
Improving living resource response to water quality management efforts

- Expression of the criteria can be shifted to more accurately reflect necessary conditions for habitat suitability (value, mode, how and where measured)
- Achievement of TMDL targets could be prioritized according to location (segments) or habitat type
- Addition of management actions to elevate LR response to WQ management efforts.

**Possible Living Resource Responses to Existing Water Quality Standards**

- **Approximate Current Status**
- **Possible Response (A)**
- **Possible Response (B)**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Achievement of WQ Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Living Resource Abundance
• Expanded AM will need to include all levels of policy feedback and learning in the existing CWA approach (arrows 1 through 4).
  • Commitment throughout the organization
  • Processes to support decision-making under uncertainty
  • Addition of analytical tools
  • Targeted monitoring to support adaptive management
• Now is an opportunity to developed expanded adaptive management processes
• Identify gaps between the expected physical, chemical, biological, and socioeconomic responses to management actions and their current realization, and identify recent scientific developments that can advance efforts to attain WQS;
• Characterize the critical uncertainties in system response to management actions and recommend research strategies that improve understanding of system response relevant to the attainment of WQS.
• Recommend strategies for integrating scientific and technical analysis into management efforts in order to aid decision-making under uncertainty.
Who is CESR?

• What it’s not:
  • A report card on the restoration effort
  • A list of specific recommendations

• What it is:
  • An extraction of learnings after 30 years of water quality efforts
  • An identification of some opportunities for increasing program effectiveness
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Responsible science brokerage

- Alignment of synthesis of evidence with policy needs
- Robust, transdisciplinary, with appropriate expert inputs
- Implications are articulated
- Choices and options instead of recommendations
- Communicates limitations and unavoidable biases
- Does not take a role in the policy choice process

The Structure of the Report

“If I had an hour to solve a problem I’d spend 55 minutes thinking about the problem and five minutes thinking about solutions” Albert Einstein
Chesapeake Bay Agreement: Sustainable Fisheries, Vital Habitat, Water Quality, Toxic Contaminants, Healthy Watershed, Climate Resilience, Land Conservation, Stewardship, Public Access, Environmental Literacy

Clean Water Act

Establish Water Quality Standards

Designated Uses: Living Resources (defined as 5 habitats)

Water Quality Criteria (WQC): Dissolved Oxygen, Water clarity/SAV, & Chl-a across 5 habitats

Monitor & Assess

Establish TMDL

Pollutant Stressors: Nitrogen (TN), Phosphorus (TP), & Sediment (TSS)

Pollutant Targets:
- TN: 214.6 m/lb/yr
- TP: 13.4 m lb/yr
- TSS: 18,587 m lb/yr

Design & Select Implementation

Implementation Programs
- Federal Permitting
- Fed/State Nonpoint Programs
- Funding

TMDL Accounting & Accountability

Section 2: Policy Context and Report Organization
Section 2: Policy Context and Report Organization
Section 3: Nutrient and Sediment Response to Management Efforts
Implementation Gap
Response Gap

Orange curve is the response expected by CAST model

Red curve is a possible response actually achieved

 draff is the achievement of N, P, or sediment TMDL goal assuming CBP is on the expected response

“Effort to achieve TMDL” is the amount of implementation required to achieve all TMDL goals as estimated by CAST.
Organizing System Diagram
Section 4: Water Quality Response to Nutrient and Sediment Reductions
Organizing System Diagram
Atainment by Designated Uses
Are load reductions resulting in lower N, P, & S?

Chesapeake Bay tidal station categorical results for mean change in surface TN (a–c) and TP (d–f) over three time periods computed using temporal GAM fits (eqs 2 and 3) but not filtering for flow or any other explanatory variable. From Murphy et al., 2022.
Translating load reductions to attainment

Graph of below fall line WSM+RIM TN loads and DO criteria attainment, calculated as three year running mean criteria versus the 3-year running mean RIM+WSM TN for the same time period. Red squares represent expected responses from the 2017 Mid-point Assessment. Green triangles are 10-year means of the observations. Graph by Jeremy Testa, based on data from Qian Zhang.
Why?
Non-linear Interactions and Climate Change

From Frankel et al., 2022

If 35 years of nutrient reductions had not occurred, hypoxia would have:

- Been **20-120% larger** for $O_2 < 3$ mg L$^{-1}$
- Been **30-280% larger** for $O_2 < 1$ mg L$^{-1}$
- Extended **further south** in the Bay
- Lasted **longer** during dry years

From Kemp et al., 2005
Section 5: Living Resource Response to Water Quality Conditions
Possible Living Resource Responses to Existing Water Quality Standards

- **Approximate Current Status**
- **Possible Response (A)**
- **Possible Response (B)**

- **Y-axis:** Living Resource Abundance
- **X-axis:** % Achievement of WQ Criteria

Graph showing the relationship between living resource abundance and the percentage achievement of water quality criteria.
Organizing System Diagram
Evidence and Effort to Explain Observed Patterns