

Stream Restoration STAC

The Chesapeake Nontidal Watershed History and Evolution of Stream Degradation Patterns and Restoration

> Matthew Cashman US Geological Survey Maryland-Delaware-DC Water Science Center 21-March-2023

This information is preliminary and is subject to revision. It is being provided to meet the need for timely best science. The information is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the information.

Coastal Plain histories

Legacy sediment is standard for Coastal Plain

- Colonial deep water ports now miles from navigation
 - Siltation problem recognized in law by 1750s
- Over 800 land acres were added to Maryland alone between 1845 and 1938 (Gottschalk 1945)
- USGS-BWPR project in Anne Arundel County
 - Floodplains contain meters of legacy sediments
 - Stream beds on top of legacy sediments
 - Precolonial soils deep below ground, invisible
 - Very different floodplain environment, alder-fern wooded swamps, buried bogs. Large wood piles.





Street bridge.

(Gottschalk 1945)

Multiple stressors review

- Stream biota are affected by instream habitat stressors
- Anthropogenic disturbances alter a mixture of co-occurring stressors
 - e.g. Urban Stream Syndrome
- Biota may be limited by one(+) stressor(s), rather than all present
- Restorations target stressor pathway(s), not source
 - e.g., storm pond does not remove impervious surfaces, it mitigates flow
- Focusing on wrong stressor pathways might limit effectiveness and ecosystem response



Identifying Key Stressors Driving Biological Impairment in Freshwater Streams in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed, USA

Rosemary M. Fanelli¹ · Matthew J. Cashman² · Aaron J. Porter³

Multiple stressors review

- USGS study published in Environmental Management
- Focus on stream macroinvertebrate endpoints
- 1. Analyzed Scientific Literature for Multiple-Stressor Studies
 - Freq. stressor was studied
 - Freq. stressor was statistically "important"
 - Compared across land-uses
- 2. Compared to state-reported stream impairments (303d) and listed stressor "cause"



Rosemary M. Fanelli¹ · Matthew J. Cashman² · Aaron J. Porter³

Multiple stressors review

- Geomorphology (and "sediment") Important, studied, and commonly listed
 - But "sediment," bed habitat, and geomorphic processes are related, not equivalent. These topics get conflated in listings, see Flow
- Salinity Very important in urban, industrial, mining
 - Rarely listed (except Maryland)
- Nutrients Lots of focus, but **only occasionally important** to local condition, mainly in ag areas.
- Pesticides and organic contaminants Rarely evaluated, but almost always very important.
 - Critical need for more monitoring to understand extent and severity.
- Flow Hard to measure, typically listed under sediment.
 - Yet erosion controls do not resolve geomorphic function nor direct flow effects.

Stressor category		Literature review		Jurisdictional analysis
		Frequency of measurement	Frequency of importance	Watershed-wide ranking
	Geomorphology & sediment	High	High	High
NaCI	Salinity & major ions	High	High	High * MD only
Np	Nutrients	High	Moderate	High
8	Toxic contaminants	Low [*] * Pesticides	High * & Organics	Moderate [*] <i>Metals</i>
	Flow	Low	Moderate	Moderate
рН	Acidity	Moderate	Low	Moderate
B	Riparian	Low	Low	Moderate
03	Dissolved oxygen	Moderate	Moderate	Low
	Temperature	Moderate	Low *	Low