CESR Report Objectives

- Identify gaps and uncertainties in system response —physical, chemical, biological, and socioeconomic— that impact efforts designed to attain WQS.
- Identify recent scientific developments that can shed light on the gaps and uncertainties in system response to advance efforts to attain WQS, and
- Recommend research strategies that improve understanding of system response to support informed decision making to attain WQS.
- Recommend strategies for integrating scientific and technical analysis with active adaptive management in order to aid decision-making under uncertainty (to achieve WQS).

Outline

Section 1: Introduction

Section 2: Gaps and Uncertainties in System Response to Meet Water Quality Standards

Section 3: Watershed Response

Section 4: Estuary Response

Section 5: Living Resource Response

Section 6: Implications

Public Policy



Section 3: Evaluation of Watershed System Response to Management of Nutrient and Sediment Stressors (TMDL)

Section Framing Questions

Is the physical and social system responding to management efforts to meet TMDL N, P, and S goals in ways consistent with expectations?

What are the major uncertainties in efforts to reduce N, P, and S stressors delivered to the Chesapeake Bay?

What management actions/policy options could improve nutrient/sediment response or reduce response uncertainties?

Section 4: Evaluation of Estuary System Response to Achieve Water Quality Criteria

Section 4 Framing Questions:

Is estuary water quality responding in ways consistent with expected response to stressor reductions (N,P, & S) achieved to date?

What are the major uncertainties in efforts to achieve and assess Bay water quality criteria (DO, water clarity/SAV, chl-a)?

Have other stressors impacting achievement of WQC been identified and adequately accounted for?

What management actions/policy options could improve estuary water quality (criteria) response?

Section 5: Evaluation of Living Resource Response to WQ

Section 5 Framing Questions:

How have historical changes (~30 years) in water quality and habitat (due to both natural variation and management actions) affected living resource populations and food webs?

What is the expected (projected) response of living resources if the TMDL does to meet the current numeric water quality criteria (water quality conditions DO, Clarity/SAV, Chl -a across different habitats), recognizing that living resource conditions are affected by changes in multiple factors?

What are the uncertainties of the model/empirical analyses and how can they help guide future monitoring and modeling efforts?

How can the analyses inform what types and magnitude of changes in water quality and habitat are needed to evoke an agreed-upon set of the desired living resources responses?

Section 6. Implications

Holding spot

Summative

What are the prospects of getting there from here (uncertainties and response gaps to achieving WQS)

How can water quality response to management/investments/policy actions be improved?

Integrative/cross cutting

What are the lessons and options for the design and assessment of future water quality standards (criteria and designated uses)?

What are the implications of existing WQS standards and TMDL nutrient reduction goals for the achievement and improvement of other Bay restoration goals?