



STAC FY18 Workshop Proposal Scoring Rubric

Please take a moment to evaluate each of the workshop proposals submitted for FY18. For each proposal, please provide a score (0-4, with four being the highest) based on how well each proposal fulfills the given criterion. Workshops are listed in alphabetical order by title.

4 – Agree Strongly

3 – Agree

2 – Neutral

1 – Disagree

0 – Not evaluated

4 – Completely

3 – Mostly

2 – Somewhat

1 – Very Little

0 – Not at all

Blank – Not evaluated

1. Demonstrated relevance to the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem: Are the proposed scientific/technical objectives and products clearly relevant to current and/or future conditions of the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem?

2. Scientific and technical appropriateness for Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP): Are the proposed scientific/technical objectives and products clearly linked to CBP goals, outcomes, and Decision Framework?

3. Clearly organized plan: Does the proposal include a plan and timeline that clearly demonstrates that the workshop will likely achieve the stated objectives and complete the proposed products?

4. Steering Committee qualifications: Does the proposed steering committee include the appropriate mix of qualified individuals to conduct the workshop, achieve the stated objectives, and complete the proposed products?

5. Effectiveness as a workshop: Is a STAC workshop, rather than some other kind of activity (e.g., a review, literature synthesis/white paper, factsheet), the appropriate vehicle for the proposed project?

Criterion for Evaluation	Proposal #1	Proposal #2	Proposal #3	Proposal #4	Proposal #5
Criteria #1 Demonstrated relevance to the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem					
Criteria #2 Scientific and technical appropriateness for CBP					
Criteria #3 Clearly organized plan					
Criteria #4 Steering Committee qualifications					
Criteria #5 Effectiveness as a workshop					

Proposal #1 [Title]

	Criteria #1 Demonstrated relevance to the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem	Criteria #2 Scientific and technical appropriateness for CBP	Criteria #3 Clearly organized plan	Criteria #4 Steering Committee qualifications	Criteria #5 Effectiveness as a workshop
Score:					
Comments:					

Proposal #2 [Title]

	Criteria #1 Demonstrated relevance to the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem	Criteria #2 Scientific and technical appropriateness for CBP	Criteria #3 Clearly organized plan	Criteria #4 Steering Committee qualifications	Criteria #5 Effectiveness as a workshop
Score:					
Comments:					