



STAC FY16 Workshop Proposal Scoring Rubric

Please take a moment to evaluate each of the workshop proposals submitted for FY16. The following criteria may serve as a checklist for what each proposal should include. For each proposal, please provide a score (0-4, with four being the highest) based on how well the given proposal fulfills each criterion. Workshops are listed in alphabetical order by title:

- 4 – Agree Strongly
- 3 – Agree
- 2 – Neutral
- 1 – Disagree
- 0 – Not evaluated

1. Relevance to the Management Needs of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem: The scientific/technical objectives and products of the proposed workshop are strongly relevant to current and/or future conditions of the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem.

2. Appropriateness for STAC: The scientific/technical objectives and products of the proposed workshop are strongly linked to STAC activities and priorities.

3. Clearly organized plan: A clear plan and timeline is presented that will likely lead to the workshop successfully achieving its objectives.

4. SC qualifications: The steering committee members are well qualified to conduct the proposed activities and ensure that workshop products are produced in a timely manner.

5. Effectiveness as a workshop activity: A STAC workshop is clearly appropriate for the proposed activity.

Criterion for Evaluation	Proposal #1	Proposal #2	Proposal #3	...
Criteria #1 Relevance to Management Needs of the Chesapeake Bay				
Criteria #2 Appropriateness for STAC				
Criteria #3 Clearly organized plan				
Criteria #4 SC qualifications				
Criteria #5 Effectiveness as a workshop				