# Agricultural Ditch Management on Maryland's Eastern Shore

Roadside Ditch Management Workshop

Jason Keppler Maryland Department of Agriculture



# Ditch Drained Systems

- \* Flat, low-lying, poorly drained coastal plain soils
- \* Land drainage closely associated with agricultural use
- Primarily corn, wheat and soybeans rotation
- High density poultry production has led to elevated soil P



# Public Drainage Associations

- \* Approximately 821 miles of managed drainage ditches on Eastern Shore
- \* 207,000 acres benefited
- \* 1st recorded Long Marsh 1789
- \* Public Drainage Associations
  - \* 100 associations
  - Collect taxes for upkeep and maintenance



Poorly drained agricultural land

# Artificial drainage has modified hydrology

#### \* Ditching

- \* Placed 2-4 feet below surface
- \* Lowered water tables
- More efficient transport of water
- \* Tile drainage
  - Lowered water tables
  - Piped surface and groundwater



# Ditching and tile drainage is effective, but....



- \* Concentrates nitrate
- \* Reduces processing
  - \* Loss of ecosystem services
- \* Increases transport

# **Practice Options**

- \* Water Control Structures
- \* Hydromodifications
- \* Weed Wiper
- \* Bioreactors
- \* Passive Phosphorus Removal Systems



#### Water Control Structures

- \* USDA Natural Resources
   Conservation Service Practice
- Regulates water in a drainage system to manage the outflow of drainage water
- Controls water surface

   elevations and discharge from
   surface and subsurface
   drainage



#### Inlet vs Inline Water Control Structure





# Benefits of Implementation

- \* Improve water quality
  - Denitrification
  - \* Reduce soil erosion
  - \* Trap sediment
- Improve soil environment for vegetative growth
- Reduce the rate of oxidation of organic soils
- \* Reduces flashiness of drainage system
- Wildlife habitat seasonal shallow flooding



#### Research

- \* North Carolina Robert Evans (1989)
  - \* Neuse River Watershed
  - \* 45% N reduction
  - \* 35% P reduction
  - \* Based on pounds/acre/year
- \* Delaware DNREC (2004)
  - \* 33% N reduction
  - \* Did not assign P reduction efficiency
- \* Chesapeake Bay Program (2005)
  - \* Approved agricultural BMP for nutrient reduction credit
  - \* 30% N reduction

#### **Cost-Share Assistance Available**

- 87.5% through MACS
   Program
- \* Up to \$20,000
- \* 10 year maintenance life
- \* Maintenance agreement



# Hydromodifications

















## Weed Wiper

- Selectively targets tall woody vegetation and brush without harming the low growing vegetation
- Used to stabilize and protect the ditch slopes
- Allows for increased wildlife habitat







# Bioreactors





# Basics

#### Components



#### Nitrate Removal



Water with dissolved nitrates flows into a wood chip pit. The wood chips serve as a home and food for bacteria in the low-oxygen environment. Bacteria convert nitrates into dinitrogen gas, and water flows from the output minus nitrates.





# Nutrients

#### Nitrate (mg/l)

	Box 1	Box 2	Box 1	Box 2		
	NO3-N	NO3-N	NO3 Load	NO3 Load	Load	Concentration
Date	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(lbs/d)	(lbs/d)	Reduction	Reduction
11/20	9.14	0.07				99.28%
11/26	9.13	0.07				99.28%
11/27	0.97	0.32				67.18%
12/3	0.01	0.03	0.000	0.000	-97.86%	-97.86%
2/7	13.41	0.68	3.307	0.033	15.60%	94.92%
2/12	20.60	0.03	14.977	0.003	10.73%	99.85%
2/17	13.64	0.91	5.973	0.080	15.55%	93.33%
3/11	17.50	0.10	12.723	0.005	6.01%	99.43%
4/28	2.41	0.10	0.114	0.009	62.29%	95.85%
AVERAGE	9.65	0.26	6.18	0.02	22.0%	94.0%

# How well are they working?

- \* Highly efficient at reducing nitrate
  - \* 94%-98% efficiency (concentration)
- \* Load reduction low
  - \* Amount of water diverted into bioreactor
  - \* 22% load reduction
- \* Ammonium treatment variable
  - \* Depends on influent concentration
  - \* Source during periods of low influent concentration
- \* Bioreactor is leaching phosphorus
  - \* High at onset as bound phosphorus is freed (anaerobic conditions)
  - \* Will continue at some level



# Effectiveness

- \* 23% to 98% reduction in nitrate load
  - \* Temperature
  - \* Retention Time
- \* Lifespan of greater than 15 years
- \* Low Maintenance
- \* Cost Effective
  - \* Less than \$3.50 per kg N removed
- \* Edge of field



# Drawbacks

- \* Some N2O production
  - \* Negligible to 4 %
  - \* Higher during cold conditions
- \* May cause methylation of mercury
  - \* Rare
  - \* Occurs if sulfate reducing conditions present



# Passive Phosphorus Removal Systems



## Ditch P Transport

- \* Legacy P releases dissolved P over many years
- There are no BMP's designed to control dissolved P transport
  - dissolved P is most dangerous to aquatic ecosystems
- \* Ditches provide direct transport path for dissolved P
- Majority of the P in ditches gets there through shallow subsurface flow
- \* Ditches provide ideal collection point for treatment

# **Basic Ditch Filter**

- Structure filled with P sorbing materials (PSMs)
  - Any material that chemically sorbs P through precipitation or fixation reactions
  - Fe, Mg, Al, or Ca containing materials, or combination of these elements
  - Typically focused on industrial residuals
- \* Alter hydraulic head in ditch to force flow through filter material
- Confine material in some sort of structure



### Confined Bed

- \* Good for large filter
- Ideal for drainage swales that require high peak flow and little water backing
  - Achieved through shallow
     PSM with large surface
     area



## Tile Drain

- Similar to bed, but without confinement
- \* Allows large amount of material to be used
- Use flow control to build head
- \* Low cost
- Probably best option, but there seems to bias with landowners



#### **Box Filter**

- \* Easily switch out material
- Modular design integrates with flow control
  - \* Agri-Drain
- \* Small ditches or pond overflow
- Drawback: Small amount of material



# Performance

- \* Slag confined bed: 43% removal
- \* Gypsum tile drain: initial (limited) data indicates 67% removal
- \* Box style filter approximately 20% load reduction
  - Approximately 50% when flow is good
  - \* Reduced FWMC of TP 25%
  - \* Reduced FWMC of DRP 29%

- \* To date model predicts P removal accurately
- Need robust field data to validate model and to predict overflow versus flow through
  - \* 4 ditches with tile filters
  - \* 3 ditches with cartridge filters
  - 2 ditches (1 ag and 1 golf course) with confined bed filters
  - \* 1 retention pond with box filter
- Developing complete guidance for government and private stakeholders

#### Partners









**O**NRCS







## Thank You

#### Jason Keppler Maryland Department of Agriculture jason.keppler@maryland.gov 410-841-5879